

# Terrorism in the Age of Tech

Global Security Pulse, Strategic Monitor 2019-2020



## Novel and Important Signals to Watch: Threats and Opportunities

- **Terrorists may weaponize AI, but in ways we might not think**
  - Experts warn for a variety of high-tech but low probability threats, including 'swarms of killer drones', 'self-driving vehicles carrying car bombs and conducting ramming attacks', or 'AI-enabled assassinations'. [War on the Rocks \(1\)](#); [Defense One](#); [Soufan Group](#)
  - However, developments in AI also provide terrorists with access to a plethora of more probable means, enabling them to sow fear, including AI-enabled social network mapping, deep fakes as a PSYOPS tool, or AI-based extortion. [American Security Project](#); [The Atlantic](#); [Defense One](#); [War on the Rocks \(2\)](#)
  - *How can we strike (and safeguard) a proportional balance between the realistic probability and the impact of the use of these new technologies, without then neglecting other threats?*
- **Flying under the radar**
  - Drones have yet to be weaponized by terrorist organizations in the West, as such, their ability to bypass traditional security measures - coupled with their widespread availability - makes them an attractive weapon. [International Policy Digest](#); [Forbes](#)
  - It is mostly their ease of use and psychological impact, not the physical impact, that contributes to their disruptive potential. [UN CTED](#); [International Policy Digest](#)
  - *How can we develop the right counter-drone regulatory frameworks and physical countermeasures to address the current gap in security measures?*
- **Despite experiments with cryptocurrencies, cash remains king**
  - Given the potential of cryptocurrencies to serve as a vehicle for illicit financing, terrorist organizations continue to experiment with them, as witnessed in the recent Halle attacks. [Bellingcat](#); [ICCT](#); [FDD](#); [JPost](#)
  - Nonetheless, evidence indicates that traditional, unregulated money transfer methods and the Hawala system remain the dominant means of moving money amongst terrorist organizations. [ICCT](#); [RAND](#)
  - *How can we regulate virtual currencies to prevent abuse by malicious actors?*
- **New technology. New threats. New cooperation models?**
  - The pace and sophistication of technological advances creates an increasingly complex, difficult to predict array of interlinked security threats. [The Atlantic](#); [War on the Rocks \(1\)](#); [Defense One](#)
  - As a consequence, the gap between risks stemming from technological advancements and governments' ability to mitigate them independently is increasing, requiring new forms of security cooperation and operational adaptability. [RUSI \(1\)](#); [World Economic Forum](#); [E-International Relations](#)
  - *How can the intelligence and security community benefit from public-private partnerships to identify and counter potential threats from technological advancements, given the limitations and the classified nature of their work?*

# Terrorism in the Age of Tech

Global Security Pulse, Strategic Monitor 2019-2020



## Long Term Trends: Development of the Threat

Multifactor Threat Assessment (10-year timespan)

Trends	Indicator	Trend
Trends in terrorism	Number of terrorist attacks worldwide	▲
	Number of terrorist attacks in Europe	▲
	Number of terrorist attacks involving drones worldwide	▲
	Use of modern communication technology in terrorist activity	▲
Trends in technology	Access to technology	▲
	Connectedness of systems	▲
	Proliferation of AI	▲
	Proliferation of drone technology	▲
	Technology control and regulation	▼

■ Decreasing threat

■ Increasing threat

▲ Upward

▼ Downward

— Net-zero / Stable

# Terrorism in the Age of Tech

Global Security Pulse, Strategic Monitor 2019-2020



## Novel and Important Signals to Watch: The International Order

- **New technologies in counterterrorism; a double-edged sword**
  - Modern technologies, including AI and predictive software, open up new avenues to counter terrorism more efficiently. [Dataflog](#); [Chatham House](#); [UNOCT](#)
  - Yet, these same technologies also have the potential to open a Pandora's Box of intrusive surveillance capabilities that, left unchecked, risk undermining of the democratic order and the rule of law. [Just Security](#); [NY Times](#)
  - *How can policy makers benefit from new technologies, but simultaneously guarantee proportionality in the use of these tools in the fight against terrorism?*
- **Despite the promise of technology, online CVE efforts continue to be hindered by the lack of a legal framework**
  - Modern communication technology provides tech companies with increasingly sophisticated tools to monitor and automatically remove terrorist content. [RUSI \(2\)](#); [Brookings Institute](#)
  - However, effective identification and removal of potential terrorist content is impeded by the lack of a legal framework that defines what constitutes and attributes legal accountability for its removal. [The Counter Extremism Project](#); [Politico](#)
  - *What policies could the EU develop to take steps towards a more broadly accepted legal framework to guide the removal of terrorist content?*
- **The Christchurch attack has sparked further cross-industry and public-private collaboration**
  - The Christchurch attack has prompted tech companies, backed by governments to further increase public-private cooperation, to improve existing tracking, monitoring and redirection technologies, and to develop better oversight over post-attack live streaming. [Lawfare](#)
  - This cooperation includes sharing best practices with developing nations and smaller media platforms, given that terrorists increasingly exploit smaller alt-tech companies for their services. [UN](#); [Interpol](#); [Europol](#)
  - *What role can governments play in further improving public-private cooperation in countering online extremism?*
- **Putin predicted that the 'winner' of the AI arms race "will be ruler of the world". Small rogue states and terrorist organizations will come in second**
  - As states engage in a global AI arms race, the proliferation of such technologies benefits non-state actors seeking to exploit them. [War on the Rocks \(1\)](#); [The Soufan Group](#)
  - While AI arms control efforts remain highly complicated, initiatives and support for norm institutionalization could provide a basis for future control agreements. [Medium](#); [UNU-CPR](#); [Contemporary security Policy](#)
  - *How can we further regulate the global AI arms race to make sure that such tools do not fall into the hands of non-state actors with malicious intent.*

# Terrorism in the Age of Tech

Global Security Pulse, Strategic Monitor 2019-2020



## Long Term Trends: Development of the International Order Multiyear Regime Analysis (10-year timespan)

### Norms

#### Trend

The use of AI by state and non-state actors should be based on ethical standards	—
Big tech companies should be co-responsible for removing terrorist content from their platforms	▲
Trade and transfer of lethal drone technology should be regulated by legally binding standards	▲
Private companies should be involved in developing and setting ethical frameworks for the governance of AI	▲

### Rules

#### Trend

Initiatives by state- and non-state actors to set ethical standards regarding the use of AI	▲
The number of legal measures that increase tech companies' responsibility to remove terrorist content	▲
Initiatives by state and non-state actors to regulate the trade and transfer of lethal drone technology by legal standards	▲
The development of private-public partnerships working towards establishing ethical guidelines for the use of AI	▲

■ Decreasing threat

■ Increasing threat

▲ Upward

▼ Downward

— Net-zero / Stable

**Authors:** Goos Hofstee, Myrthe van der Graaf (Clingendael), Reinier Bergema, Olivia Kearney (ICCT). **Contributors:** Lotte Aret (MinDef), Colin P. Clarke (Soufan Group), Erwin Hoogland (MFA), Gillis Oostdijk (KMar), Danny Pronk (Clingendael), Alexander von Rosenbach (ICCT), Tim Sweijs (HCSS), Renske van der Veer (ICCT) & Karin Wester (MFA). For a general methodological justification of horizon-scanning click [here](#) and for topic click [here](#).

**Disclaimer.** The Global Security Pulse is part of the PROGRESS Program Lot 5, commissioned by the Netherlands' Ministries of Foreign Affairs and Defense. Responsibility for the content and for the opinions expressed rests solely with the authors; publication does not necessarily constitute an endorsement by the Netherlands Ministries of Foreign Affairs or Defense.